Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/890,157	INMAN, MICHAEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kishor Mayekar	1753
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Kishor Mayekar</u> .	(3)	·
(2) William Holt.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 48 and 54  Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
-		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/890,157

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called the Attorney to inform that the amendment of March 15, 2004 did not reflect the change to the claims 48 and 54 and the specification per the examiner's amendment of May 1, 2003. The attorney agrees to reflect the same change into the claims as amended on March 1, 2004 and into the specification by an examiner's amendment.